Background on a unified PAC PPS

- Creates a unified payment system for similar patients treated in any PAC setting
- Bases payments on patient characteristics, not where patients are treated
- Eliminates biases in the current HHA and SNF PPSs
- The IMPACT Act of 2014 requires reports on a PAC PPS but does not require implementation
  - Unlikely that a PAC PPS would be proposed before 2024, for implementation sometime later
MedPAC’s conclusions about a PAC PPS and likely impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ PAC PPS is feasible</td>
<td>▪ Redistributes payments from stays with high amounts of therapy unrelated to a patient’s condition to medical stays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Could be implemented sooner than timetable indicated in law</td>
<td>▪ Increases the equity of payments across different clinical conditions by narrowing differences in profitability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Revise PPS when functional assessment data become available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Concurrent alignment of regulatory requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation issue #1: Include a transition to a PAC PPS

- A transition blends new PAC PPS and current setting-specific PPS over multiple years
- Extends the current inequities in SNF and HHA PPSs but gives providers time to adjust their costs and practices
- The size and variation in the changes in payments indicate the need for only a short transition
- Providers could have the option to bypass transition
Implementation issue #2: Setting the level of total PAC PPS payments

- Average PAC payment estimated to be 14% higher than the average cost of care
- Consistent with previous MedPAC recommendations, the level of payments should be lowered
- Even with a 5% reduction, the average payments would be 9% higher than the average cost of stays
  - For most of the 30 patient groups, average payments were 7-9% higher than average costs

Data are preliminary and subject to change.
Implementation issue #3: Periodic refinements to the PAC PPS

- As with prior payment policy changes, providers will change their costs, patient mix, and practice patterns to maintain or increase their profitability
- Refinements to the PPS to keep payments aligned to the cost of care
  - Revise the relative payments across stays
  - Rebase payments if the costs of care change
  - On-going maintenance of any PPS
Conclusions

- A PAC PPS could be implemented as soon as 2021
- Functional assessment data should be incorporated into the risk-adjustment method when it becomes available
- The implementation should include a short transition
- The level of PAC spending should be lowered
- Concurrently, the Secretary will need to begin to align setting-specific regulatory requirements and will need the authority to do so
- The Secretary will need the authority to revise and rebase payments
Commission’s PAC PPS goals

- The PAC PPS can and should be implemented sooner than laid out in statute.
- The recommendation reflects the Commission’s concern that payment reforms in PAC settings have been too slow.
- The Commission will continue its work on PAC reform. Over the next year, we will consider regulatory alignments across PAC settings.